Filed 12/22/2009

Page 1 of 12

Case 2:09-cv-09371-JFW-MLG Document 1

invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 1343.

28

2. The acts and/or omissions complained of occurred in the Central District of California, and, therefore, venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff was within the jurisdiction of the United States of America at all times herein alleged, and was an inhabitant of the United States of America.
- 4. At the time of the incidents alleged herein, defendant John Klein was a California police officer and the City of Newport Beach Police Department ("CNBPD") police chief and the legal head and policymaker for the CNBPD, Newport Beach, California. The City of Newport Beach is a California governmental entity. Defendants D. Maisano, R. Peters, #1271, J. Park, #1219, and the ten unknown named defendants were California police officers, and at the time of the incidents alleged herein were police officer employees of the CNBPD. Defendant Robert M. Altman is a California police officer and the current CNBPD police chief and legal head and policymaker for the CNBPD. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names of the ten unknown named CNBPD police officer defendants and for that reason sues those defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is liable for the injuries alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege the true names of the fictitiously named defendants when such are ascertained.
- 5. Each and every defendant is sued in his/her individual/personal capacity, as well as in his/her official capacity if he/she had any policymaking duties, functions, or responsibilities with respect to the matters alleged herein.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

6. Each and every allegation set forth in each and every averment of this pleading hereby is incorporated by this reference into each and every other

7

11

12

13

10

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

averment and allegation of this pleading.

- 7. The plaintiff was deprived of an interest protected by the Constitution and/or laws of the United States of America, and each and every defendant caused, by commission or omission, such deprivation while acting under color of state law.
- 8. All acts and/or omissions perpetrated by each defendant, except a governmental entity or any defendant in his/her official capacity only, were engaged in maliciously, callously, oppressively, wantonly, recklessly, with deliberate indifference to the rights allegedly violated, despicably, and with evil motive and/or intent, in disregard of the rights of the plaintiff.
- 9. Any defendant in his/her official capacity knowingly, or grossly negligently, or with deliberate indifference to the rights allegedly violated, caused to come into being, maintained, fostered, condoned, approved of, either before the fact or after the fact, ratified, took no action to correct, an official policy, practice, procedure, or custom of permitting the occurrence of the categories of wrongs set forth in this pleading, and/or improperly, inadequately, with deliberate indifference to the constitutional or other federal rights of persons, grossly negligently, with reckless disregard to constitutional or other federal rights, failed properly to train, to supervise, to retrain, if necessary, to monitor, or to take corrective action with respect to the police and with respect to the types of wrongful conduct alleged in this pleading, so that each one of them is legally responsible for all of the injuries and/or damages sustained by the plaintiff pursuant to the principles set forth in Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, and its progeny.

The policymaker defendants Robert M. Luman, John Klein, and any unknown named supervisory police officer defendants, are sued on this theory

both in their individual/personal capacities as well as in their official capacities.

Also, it is alleged that prior failures to investigate police misconduct and/or to discipline police found culpable for misconduct, inadequate investigations into and/or inadequate discipline imposed for police misconduct, and/or a failure to investigate and/or to discipline the police defendants for their alleged misconduct in this case, all make the policymaker defendants liable for the police misconduct.

- 10. On or about February 19, 2008, at approximately 2:00 a.m., in a treatment room in the Emergency Room section of Hoag Memorial Hospital, in Newport Beach, California, while plaintiff was undergoing treatment in the Emergency Room for a serious medical condition, and while plaintiff was an arrestee, defendant D. Maisano used excessive force on plaintiff, and thereby caused plaintiff to suffer severe, permanent, physical injuries, and great pain and suffering, and emotional distress, and which also aggravated and exacerbated preexisting serious medical conditions.
- 11. On or about February 19, 2008, at approximately 3:00 p.m., and thereafter, CNBPD police officer defendants D. Maisano, R. Peters, #1271 and J. Park, # 1219, and five unknown named CNBPD police officer defendants, and each of them, while plaintiff was in their custody as an arrestee, failed to obtain medical treatment for the injuries inflicted upon plaintiff by D. Maisano when he used excessive force on plaintiff, in deliberate indifference to plaintiff's serious medical needs, thereby aggravating plaintiff's severe physical injuries and causing plaintiff to suffer additional pain and suffering and severe emotional distress.
- 12. On or about February 19, 2008, CNBPD police officer defendants D. Maisano, R. Peters, #1271, J. Park, # 1219, and five unknown named CNBPD police officer defendants, and each of them, conspired and agreed to cover up and conceal the excessive force used on plaintiff by D. Maisano, and to that end filed

no use of force reports, and failed to properly photograph and document the injuries inflicted upon plaintiff by D. Maisano, and also in furtherance of that end, failed to obtain medical treatment for the serious medical needs of plaintiff caused by the injuries inflicted upon plaintiff by D. Maisano, even though medical care was readily available because the incident occurred at the Hoag Memorial Hospital Emergency Room, and did so because the treatment of the injuries inflicted on plaintiff by defendant D. Maisano would have resulted in the documentation of the excessive use of force by D. Maisano on plaintiff.

COUNT ONE

13. At the time of the incident or incidents alleged in this case, set forth at averments 10-12, immediately above, the rights of persons within the jurisdiction of the United States of America under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be secure in home, person, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and/or seizures, and not to be subjected to the use of unreasonable or excessive force were in effect, and any individual police officer defendant who engaged in conduct (including actionable omissions, if applicable), as set forth in averments 10-12, immediately above, violated those Fourth Amendment rights, and thereby also violated the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and by virtue thereof, each such police officer is liable to the plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, according to proof.

COUNT TWO

14. At the time of the incident or incidents alleged in this case, set forth in averments 10-12, immediately above, the plaintiff was an arrestee whose rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution not to suffer a deprivation of due process or of equal protection of the laws by virtue of the defendants' deliberate indifference to her serious medical needs, and to be free

8

9

25

23

24

2627

28

from cruel and unusual punishment, were in effect, and any individual police officer defendant who engaged in conduct, as set forth hereinabove in averments 10-12, caused a violation of those rights, and by virtue thereof, each such police officer is liable to the plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, according to proof.

COUNT THREE

15. Also, it is alleged that there was an agreement or understanding between or among CNBPD police officer defendants D. Maisano, R. Peters, #1271, and J. Park, # 1219, and the five unknown named CNBPD police officer defendants, and each of them, to engage in the conduct alleged herein to be wrongful and that there was the commission of an overt act in furtherance of said conspiracy. The aforesaid defendants conspired and agreed to file false police reports that did not document or in any way describe the injuries inflicted upon plaintiff by defendant D. Maisano, and conspired and agreed that they would not take photographs that depicted the injuries suffered by plaintiff as a result of D. Maisano's use of excessive force, and further conspired and agreed that they would not seek medical care for the injuries suffered by plaintiff as a result of the excessive force used by D. Maisano, and, as a result of said conspiracy and agreement, filed false police reports that make no mention of the injuries inflicted upon plaintiff by D. Maisano's excessive use of force, and did not take photographs that depicted plaintiff's injuries, or seek medical treatment for those injuries while plaintiff, an arrestee, was in their custody.

COUNT FOUR

16. The unconstitutional acts of the individual police officer defendants alleged herein were the product of the policies, customs and practices of the policymaker defendants, as heretofore alleged and set forth in averment 9, and said

custom, practices, and policies were the moving force behind the constitutional violations alleged herein and caused said unconstitutional violations, which the policymakers defendants thereafter condoned, acquiesced in and ratified. WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests relief as follows, and according to proof, against each defendant: 1. The award of general and compensatory damages against each defendant, according to proof; 2. Punitive damages against each defendant in his/her individual/personal capacity only, according to proof; 3. Interest from the date of the wrongful conduct; 4. Costs of suit, including attorneys' fees; and, 5. Such other relief as may be warranted or is just and proper. **JURY DEMAND** Trial by jury of all issues is demanded.

YAGMAN & YAGMAN & REICHMANN.

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge John F. Walter and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV09- 9371 JFW (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central I r

	istrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related	
All discovery related motions	should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge	
========	=======================================	
	NOTICE TO COUNSEL	
A copy of this notice must be served filed, a copy of this notice must be se	with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action in ved on all plaintiffs).	's
Subsequent documents must be filed	at the following location:	
[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm Riverside, CA 92501	. 134
Failure to file at the proper location will re	sult in your documents being returned to you.	

Case 2:09-cv-09371-JFW-MLG

Document 1

Filed 12/22/2009

Page 9 of 12

Name & Address: MARION R. YAGMAN (SBN # 83007) YAGMAN & YAGMAN & REICHMANN 723 Ocean Front Walk Venice, CA 90291-3212 (310) 452-3200

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
R. N. VERMILLION, PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CASE NUMBER CV09-9371JFW MLGX			
ROBERT M. LUMAN, [SEE PAGE TWO FOR LIST OF ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS] DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS			
TO: DEFENDANT(S): ROBERT M. LUMAN, JOH J. PARK, # 1219 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\mathbb{D} \) counterclaim \(\mathbb{D} \) cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, \(\mathbb{Ma} \) Yagman & Yagman & Reichmann, 723 Ocean Front W	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint amended complaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer			
judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.				
Dated: December 22, 2009	Clerk, U.S. District Court By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)			

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

SUMMONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUMMONS

VERMILLION V. LUMAN, et al.,

Page Two

Attachment: Additional Defendants:

JOHN KLEIN, CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE OFFICERS D. MAISANO, R. PETERS, #1271, J. PARK, #1219, and 10 UNKNOWN NAMED POLICE OFFICERS OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT, all sued in their individual/personal capacities and in their official capacities,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) R. N. VERMILLION			DEFENDANTS ROBERT M. LUMAN, JOHN KLEIN, CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE OFFICERS D. MAISANO, R. PETERS, # 1271, J. PARK, #1219			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) MARION R. YAGMAN, YAGMAN & YAGMAN & REICHMANN, 723 Ocean Front Walk, Venice, CA 90291			Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PART		s Only	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(Place an X Citizen of This S		DEF Incorporated or lof Business in the		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Anoth	her State \square 2		d Principal Place □ 5 □ 5	
		Citizen or Subje	ect of a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one	e box only.)					
☐ 1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Reopened □ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): □ 6 Multiplication □ 7 Appeal to District District Under the District District District Under the District D						
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	AINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes	' only if demanded in complair	nt.)		
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23: ☐ Yes ☑ No	DE N	MONEY DEMANDED IN CO	OMPLAINT: § According	ng to proof	
	the U.S. Civil Statute under which you				tatutes unless diversity.)	
William Control of the Control of th	Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments E	Excessive Force, o	deliberate indifference to seriou	us medical needs		
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)	·····		T		
□ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	□ 120 Marine □ 310 □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 320 □ 150 Recovery of □ 330 □ 151 Medicare Act □ 340 □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 355 □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 360 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 362 □ 190 Other Contract □ 365 □ 190 Contract Product Liability □ 368 REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 368 □ 220 Foreclosure □ 368 □ 220 Foreclosure □ 462 □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 □ 240 Torts to Land □ 463 □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 463 □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 463	Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractic Personal Injury Product Liabilit Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee	PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 American with Disabilities - Employment 446 American with Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights Right	PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus/ Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition FORFEITURE / PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety /Health 690 Other	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	
State Statutes		Other Immigrati			USC 7609	
			f	1100-0	9371	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:					

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 2:09-cv-09371-JFW-MLG Document 1 Filed 12/22/2009 Page 12 of 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes If yes, list case number(s):							
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? ✓ No □ Yes If yes, list case number(s):							
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply)							
IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)							
(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).							
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			COLORADO				
(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.							
Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
ORANGE			Carrotina County Outside of this District, State, it offer than Carrotina, of Foreign Country				
(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.							
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
ORANGE							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernare Note: In land condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ver	ntura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER):	//lle/m	Date December 21, 2009				
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)							
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)